

Species was written ambiguously. Applicant requested instruction from the Examiner for the Election of Species Requirement, and Examiner asserted that Applicant should elect one method step from the combination of method steps in claims 81 and 82. No election was made during the telephonic interview and no agreement was reached.

B. Election of Species

The Examiner has requested an election of a single disclosed species. The Examiner has identified the species as follows:

Species I as containing different species method steps of image analysis methods of claim 81; and

Species II as containing different species method steps of image analysis methods of claim 82.

The Applicant hereby elect without traverse the method step of “object classification” of Species II of the image analysis methods of claim 82. Claims 44-54, 73-80, and 82 are believed to be readable on the elected species.

Dated this 26th day of November, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jonathan M. Bennis, Reg. #53983/

JONATHAN M. BENNS
Registration No. 53,983
Attorney for Applicants
Customer No. 022913